

G. R. C. IX-5]

Previous references.

File No

192

Serial Nos. 1

()

Later references

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos. 1-11

Part B for Sept 1900

SUBJECT.

Right to collect the Amaraige produce of the Gumb
at Sarinphatun for 4 years from 1st July 1900 to 30th June
1904 purchased by the late Contractor Mahommed Ibrahim
Serial No. 42 R. 656 P. 2

343 B 29.20

20

The Deputy Commr

Myself

I enclose the writing off the accounts of the
Gumb at Sarinphatun due to the Gumb by the Contract
No. 160000 of 1900

12.11.1900

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(muznai)

NOTES

File No. 513
1919

FILE HEADING.

Right to collect the amaraqi produce of the Jumbuz
at Seringapatam for 4 years from 1-7-1910 to 30-6-1914
purchased by the late ^{contractor} Mahomed Ibrahim of Ganjam for Rs 656/-
per annum.

From

The Dy. Commr., Mysore Dist.

No. 304

Dated

24.2.1920

Received

26.2.1920

Diary No.

1637

Enclos.

12/6

Spare Copies. —

Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Reports that when the lessee died in October 1913, a Rs. 7
1348/- was due as arrear from him to the Jumbuz,
and after deducting Rs 264-12-0 being the sale proceeds of the Contra
tor's properties and Rs 568-13-4 being the amount due to the lessee
for articles supplied, i.e. Rs 833-9-4, still a balance of Rs 514-6-8 is due
to the Jumbuz, and proposes ^{for recovery} the distribution of the responsibilities ^{of the}
(1) The then Nazim (since dead) at 12 annas in the Rupee, (2) The then Amildar

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The Venkataramana Gowd at 2 annas in the Rupee ^(since dead)

then The Sub-Registrar (Compulsory Purchase) at 2 annas ^{in the Rupee}

The Dy Commr. upon 7 paise going into

The facts of the case - It is not known —

Serial
No.

Whether the retired Sub Registrar Mr. Venkataramana Iyer is ~~is~~ alive and has properties which may be proceeded against for the recovery of a sum of ~~Rs~~ 64-4-0 due by him to the Government. Further enquiry will be made of the Dy. Commr. if so directed.

Enclure

~~10/3/20~~ The responsibilities of ~~the~~ are upon 2 persons who are no more and 1 person who has been compulsorily retired and may be able to pay the amt.

For the enquiry is necessary to know the amt. can be recovered from the heirs of the deceased, ~~the~~ and the retired Sub Registrar if the latter is alive.

May this be made?

By

17/8/20

A precis of vern. records in a tabular form on the lines of the H. Secretary is submitted.

10/4/20

10/4/20

Please put up a clean typed copy of the precis

AMPS

13/4/20

111-2]

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

Muz File No. 523 of 191

Serial
No.

A typed statement (giving in-
formation on further proceeds
also) to Subm W. for order.

m
25.4.20
Bd
24.4.20

" The Sale of two
items was Cancelled at request
of certain irregularities? Have
they been resold?
" Has the deceased
Nayin. left no Assets?
U / 27/4/20

av
27/4

79. H. 1)

" The Sale was cancelled on the grounds
that these properties had already
been sold by the civil court and
purchased by one Nayappa, and
that the Defendant has therefore no
saleable interest in the property at
the time of the Red. Sale.

(2) There is no information on the point.
Subm W. with a M.

m
27.4.20 Bd
27/4/20

Serial
No.

The duplicate assets of the deceased have been proceeded against. Nevertheless there is a balance of Rs 514-6-8

As pointed out by the Sub-Div. officer the then Nazim was mainly responsible for allowing arrears to accumulate. He failed to send the records to the Taluk office in spite of 21 reminders issued to him and he wrote that a large sum was due to the legacy that was claimed even by his widow and ~~sons~~. He also advanced the contention that his legacy had suffered loss & evidently the Nazim deliberately refrained from sending the records and prevented the Taluk office from proceeding against him in time for the recovery of the arrears.

The Amildar was also responsible, for instead of sending reminders

Serial
No.

Service under rather ignominious
circumstances and it is not
possible to get anything out of
him, even if it be assumed
that he can be held liable
Nor can the Auditor
be held liable for his office
was sent 21 Reminders.

The balance now
due & may be ordered to be
recovered from the assets
of the Nazim, now released,
for it was through his negli-
gence that we are at
present in this state.

~~If nothing can be
recovered on~~

If the deceased
has left no assets and nothing
can be recovered, the
amount will have to be
written off the accounts.

(Second Member) UJ 30/4/14

Don't to D.C. approved.

This is a case of disgraceful neglect
on the part of the individuals concerned of

0852-255-
30-4-20.

G. R. S. III-2)

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

Recd File No. 513 of 1919.

Serial
No.

Shan two are unfortunately dead now &
~~and~~ the third has been ignominiously
relieved from service.

Recd7.5.20

Put up a draft
of 8/5/20

same -

18/5/20

18/5/20

2. To. by. Commr Mysore Dt.

No. 4054 of 20.5.20.

Inquiries of the deceased Nazim of the
Gumbuz, who is responsible in the matter
has left any assets

From, the my commr, Mysore Dt. of
Letter no. 364 of 27.20.

Reports that the deceased Nazim
of Gumbuz has not left any property
either moveable or immovable either in
Banjara or Seringapatam.

Submitted for orders the Nazim has

24.7.20. left no assets and the C.

Serial
No.

Outstanding is irrecoverable. It
has to be written off -

The Com^r may perhaps be recorded
with this note.

84

24.7.20

For order

AMT 6/8/20

20000

Draft 24/7/20

I a l' i m l

7 0 20 84 10/8/20

Subd. with a Fund draft

84 18/8/20

Second (Mewar)

U! 21/8/20

1222-221

23.8.20

24.8.20

24.8.20

24/8/20


AMT 24/8

4

To By Comm 14.8.20

No 843 19 2 9.20

Sanctions the writing of the acc. with of a sum
of amount due & the sum of 10000 and 10000
to be paid -



From 225) 1919-20
 The Deputy Commissioner,
 Mysore Dist

To
 The Secretary to the Govt of
 H.E. The Ministry of Mysore
 Mysore District, Bangalore

Dated

Despatched 24th February 1920

Received

General No. Ref 42364 of 18-1920
 No.

Enclosure No. one file

Soliciting orders in re

the recovery of Rs 514-6-8
 due from the deceased
 lessee of Gumbaz the garden
 etc attached to the Gumbaz
 at Srirangachulur

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District,

Dated, Mysore ^{24th} January 1920.

From

P. F. Bowring, Esquire,

Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

To

T.E. Jayaram Iyer, Esquire, B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Muzrai Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

In forwarding herewith the correspondence ending with the
Mandya Rocks Sub Division Officer's memo No. N. Dis. on L.C. 1286-
18-19 Muz. dated 15th May 1919, I have the honor to state as
follows:-

The right to collect the Amarayi produce of the Cumbaz at
Perjengapatam was sold by Public auction for four ^{years} ~~less~~ from 1st
July 1910 to 30th June 1914 on the 9th August 1910 and the same
was purchased by one Mahomed Ibrahim of Ganjam for Rs. 656/-
per annum. According to the terms of the sale notification,
the lessee deposited three month's instalment amounting to Rs.
164/- and then failed to pay up the subsequent instalments.
The sale was confirmed by the then Deputy Commissioner on the
10th September 1910. The required mutcalika was not taken
from the lessee when the sale was confirmed. About 21 reminders
were issued to the Nazim from the taluk office to send up the
records; but the Nazim remained silent without giving an reply.
The ^{Nazim made} ~~Nazim made~~ a report on the 8th October 1913 that the lessee
Ibrahim Bab was dangerously ill and that necessary steps might
be taken to recover the amount due to Government from the move-
able properties belonging to the lessee.

Again a report was made by the Nazim (No. 52 dated the 20th
October 1913) that the lessee died, that a sum of Rs. 1348/-

Rs.1348/- (as noted in the margin) was due by the lessee towards

Balance due for 11-12	Rs.328-0-0	the arrears due to —
Gutta for 12-13	656-0-0	
Gutta for 13-14 only for 3 months.	164-0-0	Government; that a sum of
	-----	Rs.685/13/4 as shewn in the
	1,148-0-0	margin ⁽⁺⁾ had to be paid to
Amount due by the lessee towards the golaka		the lessee on account of
Balance due for 11-12	75-0-0	articles supplied to the
Amount due for 12-13	100-0-0	Gumbaz from time to time
do for 13-14 only for 3 months.	25-0-0	during the period from
	-----	1907 to 1913; that the said
	200-0-0	
Grand Total	1348-0-0	

amount was not paid to him with view to adjust towards the dues

⁽⁺⁾ 1. Expenses incurred for Isthakapal from 1907 to 1913.	.. 124-4-0	due to Gumbaz.
2. Repairs to Drum in 1907	38-0-0	On the
3. Amount sent for a leg to Kunta kempal	13-0-0	death of the
4. Additional expenses incurred in the year 1912.	170-12-0	lessee, his
5. Expenditure during the urases of Tippoo Sultan in 1912	170-13-4	wife Mahaboobi
6. Due to the lessee for things supplied during the Ramzan in 1311	5-0-0	Sneik Ahmad
7. Due from a person.	117-0-0	Sab his broth
	-----	and Syed Mava-
	Total 685-13-4	

kal Sab (partner of the lessee) stated before the Amildar that the amount due to the deceased was only Rs.572-1-5; that they consented to pay the balance of Rs.775-14-7 due from him towards the Government in monthly instalments of Rs.90/- and offered as security moveable and immoveable properties belonging to the lessee alleged to ^{be} ~~the~~ worth about Rs.1375/- and that they wanted to continue to be the lessees for the remaining period of 9 months.

The Sub Division Officer, French Rocks, recommended that the lease might be allowed to run current up to the original period in favour of the lessee's heirs subject to their handing over their moveable and immoveable properties and paying Rs.90/- a month till the arrears are cleared and the same was approved in this office No.1003 dated the 11th April 1914.

Thereupon a mutcaalika was executed by Mahaboobi on the

Acted in A
18-11-1913
F

E

H

the 2nd May 1914 to the effect that she would deposit a sum of Rs.250/- and execute a mortgage deed of her husband's properties in favour of Government for the balance due to Government within 8 days from that date. Then the Amildar began making enquires as to the value of the properties of the lessee and made a reference on 27th October 1914 to the Sub Registrar of Seringapatam to ascertain particulars of the claims on the property. The Sub Registrar replied on 8th September 1915 asking the Amildar to send a clerk of his office to search for the reference which was done on the 14th of the same month. No further action was taken by the Amildar.

On 19th July 1916, Mahaboobi, appeared before the Amildar and stated that she had no objection to mortgage the property as already promised by her but as she could not redeem the same, she prayed that the Government might sell the properties and the sale proceeds might be taken ^{towards} ~~for~~ Government dues etc. Sale proceedings were adopted by the Amildar on 21st July 1916. The properties were sold on the 5th September 1916 and the records were submitted to the Sub Division Officer, French Rocks, for confirmation on 24th October 1916. The Sub Division Officer, confirmed the sale of some items and cancelled the sale of the rest owing to some irregularity in the sale proceedings and the records were returned to the Amildar on 3rd January 1917 with directions to take every action for the recovery of the Government dues.

The sale proceeds of the properties amounted to Rs.264-12-0. The amount due to the lessee for articles supplied is Rs.568-13-4. Deducting the above sums viz. Rs.833-9-4, out of the dues to Government viz. Rs.1348/-, still a balance of Rs.514-6-8 is due from the deceased lessee.

No active steps were taken both by the Amildar and the Nazim in the matter of recovery of the amount due by the lessee. The Sub Division Officer reports that the Nazim's negligence,

A negligence, in not taking prompt action notwithstanding the issue of 21 reminders to him by the Amildar, is the main cause for this loss to Gumbaz funds and recommends that the responsibilities may be distributed as detailed in the margin.

<u>Name.</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>Amount</u>	
1. Abdul Khalik Amin. The then Nazim		12 as in the	regards
		rupee.	the recovery
2. Mr. Venkatramanasrouti	The then	2 as do	of the balance
	Amildar.		
3. " Venkataramana Iyer. The then		2 as do	from the said
	Sub Registrar		persons, both

the Nazim and the Amildar are dead and the Sub Registrar was compulsorily retired.

In these circumstances, I solicit orders of Government in the matter.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

V S Venkatarao

For Deputy Commissioner,

Sp - Mysore District. 24/2/20

KSS

G. R. S. VI-G/32]

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to..... 1637

Section *Imp*

File No. 573-8

Serial No. 2

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

()
the 191 .

No. 4054 *Enclosed*

Date of issue..... 20.5.20

To

Signed by *M. A. M. Rao*

*The Dy. Comr.
Mysore Dist.*

Sir,

Referring to your letter
no. 364 of 24.2.1920 reporting
on the arrears due from the
late lessee Contractor *Mahomed*
Ibrahim of Ganjam, Sringeri
putam Taluk, to the Sumbay
at the place, I am
directed to request to be
informed whether the arrears

over.

Who is responsible for.

Nazim of Jambuz, has left
any assets.

if the encls are returned
for ref. I re - submit ^{before} ~~before~~
the 31st July 1920. I have to
~~submit~~

12/18/20
W.D. Secretary, Muz. Dept
Hls
31/7/20

Recd
7.5.20

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by-

2021

SECTION: Meer
FILE No. 513-12
3

8 1919-20.

From

one Deputy Commissioner
Madras District

To

one Secretary to Govt. of M. S.

the Maharastra of all Mysore,

Dated Mysore Deputy Commissioner

Despatched } 22nd July 1920.

Received

General No.
No.

JUL 1920

Enclosure No.

Reports were sent to the
Mysore of the Mysore has
not any for Mysore in
Mysore or Mysore

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District

Dated, Mysore the ^{2nd July} ~~June~~ 1920

From

P.F.Bowring, Esquire,

Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

To

T.E.Jayarama Iyer, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of His Highness

The Maharaja of Mysore, Muzrai Department,

B a n g a l o r e.

With reference to your letter No.4054 Muz.513-19-2 dated 20th May 1920, I have the honor to state that the Amildar of the Seringapatam taluk reports ^x that the deceased ^{Nayem} ~~man~~ of Gumbaz has not left any property either moveable or immoveable either in Ganjam or Seringapatam.

The vernacular papers accompany.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Ghan shunni Sh.

For Deputy Commissioner,

Sp - Mysore District.

Proceedings of the Government of H. H.
the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read:--

Correspondence ending with letter No.364 dated 2nd July 1920, from the Deputy Commissioner Mysore District, reporting that the right to collect the Amarayi produce of the Gumbuz at Seringapatam was sold by public auction and was purchased by contractor mahomed Ibrahim of Ganjam for Rs. 656/- per annum, that when the lessee died in October 1913, a sum of Rs.1,348/- was due by him as arrears to the Gumbuz, that after deducting Rs. 264-12-0, the sale proceeds of the contractor's properties, and Rs.568-13-4 the amount due to the lessee for articles supplied by him to the Gumbuz, there is still a balance of Rs. 514-6-8 due to the Gumbuz that ^{and} the non-recovery of this sum is due to ~~the negligence of Abdul Kalik Amin, the Nazim (2) Mr. Venkataramana Gouti the Amildar and (3) Mr. Venkataramana Iyer the Sub-Registrar and adding that the Nazim is dead and has left no property.~~

Order No. 513-19-4

Dated Bangalore, the

August 1920.

1) 2) Under the circumstances ~~reported~~, the amount may be written off the accounts.

2) 1) 2) Government are constrained to observe that this is a case of disgraceful neglect on the part of local officials, of whom two are unfortunately dead, and the third has been ignominiously retired from service.

Final.

service.

27/21/8/20

Secretary to Government,

Muzrai Department.

21.8.20

To

Recd
24.8.20

The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, returning the records.

HRR.

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

The Under-Secretary
Muzrai Sect desires
to know in connection
(Muz. 573-14) the enclosed case, the
tenure of the charge of
Seringapatam Taluk
by each of the officers
noted in the margin.

Red. Sect may
be consulted.

The matter is
immediate

1) Mr Venkataramana
Sronti - Amildar

2) Mr Venkataraminier

Sub. Registrar, Sulu

Sulu

File 40534
H. H. H.

10/11

Noted
Passed on
to Supd. B. H.
15-4-20

13569

14-4-20

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips,
the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on
this form.

14-4-20

14/4/20

Rev. Secy. H.

Mr Venkataramana Sronti was
Amildar at Seringapatam
from 12.5.1914 to 22.2.1915
(Vide page 10, History of Services
of Amildars & Amildars,
Corrected up to 31st Dec 1915)

15/4/20

The date on which

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Sub-Registrars assume
 or hand over charge
 of Sub-Registry^h offices
 is not reported to Govt.
 It is not therefore possible
 for the S. R. Branch
 to furnish the informa-
 tion required by the
 Under Secretary, Mungrai
 Secretariat. The D. G. of
 Registrations may be
 addressed by the Mungrai
 Secretariat in the
 matter directly to save
 delay.

~~SS~~ AM.
 15-4-20 15/4/20
 Murraled

~~15/4~~
 15/4

AB - Should routine notes be written on small slip
 the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on
 this form